


Characterization of the Interactions of Mammalian RNA Polymerase I Associated Proteins PAF53 and PAF49

Yvonne Penrod, Katrina Rothblum, and Lawrence I. Rothblum*

Department of Cell Biology, University of Oklahoma College of Medicine, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73104, United States

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Masami Muramatsu's laboratory demonstrated the critical role of RNA polymerase I (Pol I)-associated factor PAF53 in mammalian rRNA transcription. They have also identified a second polymerase associated factor, PAF49. Both PAF49 and PAF53 copurify with that fraction of the RNA polymerase I molecules that can function in transcription initiation *in vitro*. PAF49 and PAF53 are the mammalian homologues of two subunits of yeast RNA polymerase I, A34.5 and A49, that form a TFIIF-related subcomplex in yeast RNA polymerase I. In light of those publications, we investigated the interactions between various deletion and substitution mutants of mammalian PAF49 and PAF53 with the purpose of identifying those domains of the mammalian proteins that interact. Comparison of our results with structural studies on yeast A34.5 and A49 demonstrates that the yeast and mammalian proteins may in fact share structural similarities. In fact, the deletion mutagenesis data confirmed and extended the structural studies. For example, amino acids 41–86 of PAF49 were sufficient to provide the basis for heterodimerization. *In silico* structural analysis predicted that this region could assume a structure similar to the homologous region of yeast A34.5. Those similarities are insufficient, by themselves, for the proteins to form interspecific heterodimers. However, substitution of amino acids 52–98 of yeast A34.5 with amino acids 41–86 of mammalian PAF49 resulted in a protein that could heterodimerize with mouse PAF53.



Ribosomal gene activity is essential to cell growth, varying dramatically with growth rate and in response to a wide variety of factors (reviewed in refs 1–3). During periods of rapid cell growth, rRNA synthesis can account for more than 70% of the total RNA synthesis of the cell. In rapidly growing tumor cells the cellular rRNA content must be replicated every 8–10 h, whereas in normal hepatocytes, the half-life of rRNA is measured in weeks. Cells have evolved various mechanisms for regulating rDNA transcription. For example, the rDNA transcription factor UBF is regulated by expression,^{4,5} phosphorylation,⁶ and acetylation^{7,8} and through interactions with the protein product of the retinoblastoma susceptibility gene.^{9–11} The regulation of UBF activity modulates the formation of the preinitiation complex as well as elongation.¹² Changes in the cellular levels of UBF can affect the levels of transcribable chromatin.¹³ Similarly, the species-specific transcription factor, SL1, is also regulated at different levels.^{14–16}

The three nuclear RNA polymerases contain catalytic cores that consist of 10 subunits that are shared or homologous,^{17,18} and they also contain peripheral subcomplexes.^{19,20} In yeast RNA polymerase I one subcomplex consists of A14 and A43. The A43 subunit of RNA polymerase I mediates the recruitment of Rrn3 to the polymerase. Rrn3 bridges between the polymerase and the promoter complex^{21–24} and is required for the formation of the stable and competent preinitiation complex^{24,25} by the core polymerase. Only 5–10% of the molecules of RNA polymerase I within the cell contain Rrn3 (discussed in refs 26 and 27). Rrn3 is the best characterized example of a group of proteins that distinguish the transcription competent form of RNA polymerase I. Prior to the discovery of Rrn3, Hanada et al. reported that the form of RNA polymerase

I that supported specific transcription could be distinguished from that which could not by the association of two additional proteins, PAF53 and PAF49.²⁸ Consistent with their report, we have also reported that only 60% of the RNA polymerase I molecules in rapidly growing, rat, hepatoma cells contain PAF53,²⁹ indicating that not all core polymerase complexes contain PAF53. PAF53 and PAF49 in mammalian cells are the homologues of yeast the A49 and A34.5 subunits.^{28,30–32} Although the report of Hanada et al. would suggest that PAF53 and PAF49 are essential for rDNA transcription, studies on the yeast homologues suggest the contrary. For example, both A34.5 and A49 are nonessential subunits of RNA polymerase I.^{33,34} However, A49^{−/−} yeast grow at only 5–10% of the wild-type rate. More recently, Geiger et al. reported that the A34.5/A49 subcomplex in yeast functioned as an elongation factor for RNA polymerase I.³¹

Any consideration of the role/s of A49/A34.5 and PAF53/PAF49 in rDNA transcription requires that apparently contradictory observations concerning the biochemistry and biology of the proteins need to be reconciled.

In order to add to our understanding of the roles of PAF53/PAF49 in rDNA transcription, we have mapped the domains of mammalian PAF53 and PAF49 that mediate their interaction. Our results both confirm and extend those studies that have focused on the mammalian proteins. In addition, our studies agree with the structural studies on yeast A34.5/A49 that

Received: April 2, 2012

Revised: July 27, 2012

Published: July 31, 2012



mapped those domains of the yeast homologues of PAF53 and PAF49 that are necessary for the heterodimerization. Interestingly, deletion mutagenesis of mouse PAF49 demonstrated that a region of only 46 amino acids (41–86) was sufficient to mediate the interaction with PAF53. In contrast, the yeast structural studies suggested that a much larger region of A34.5 participated in the dimerization with A49. However, despite apparent structural similarities, yeast A34.5 did not heterodimerize with mouse PAF53. When we substituted amino acids 41–86 of mouse PAF49 for amino acids 52–98 of yeast A34.5, the resulting chimera interacted with PAF53. Similar results were obtained when regions in PAF53 that had been identified by mutagenesis were substituted into yeast A49. These data demonstrated that despite apparently conserved structures and functions, the amino acid sequences of the A34.5/A49 and PAF53/PAF49 homologues have evolved independently to the point that they represent species-specific evolution.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Tissue Culture and Transfection. NIH 3T3, NIH 3T6, and HEK293 cells were grown as described previously.^{35,36} HEK293 and HEK293T cells were plated in DMEM, 5% FBS at 2×10^6 cells per 100 mm dish, and transfected the next day using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Transfections were carried out for 24 or 48 h for 293 and 3T3 cells, respectively. Cells were scraped into lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100), containing protease inhibitors (Complete, Roche Molecular Biochemicals) and either used immediately or frozen at -80°C until needed.³⁷

Transfection, Ligand and Immunoaffinity Purification.

Transfections were performed with Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Forty-eight hours post-transfection, whole cell lysates were prepared as described previously,^{29,38,39} and the lysates were tumbled with anti-FLAG-agarose, immobilized nickel (Ni-NTA agarose, Qiagen), or GSH-agarose for 2 h at 4°C . The bound proteins were eluted with FLAG peptide (500 $\mu\text{g/mL}$), imidazole (500 mM), or reduced GSH (50 mM), or the beads were boiled in SDS sample buffer, and the eluted proteins were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting using anti-FLAG, anti-GST, anti-HA, or antibodies specific to PAF53 or PAF49 as described previously.³⁸

Immunoblotting. Protein determinations were performed using the Bio-Rad D-C assay kit with bovine serum albumin as the protein standard. Western blots were carried out as described previously.²⁹ Proteins were detected by incubating the filters with a 1:2000 dilution of the appropriate antibody, followed by incubation with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated antirabbit antibodies (Bio-Rad). FLAG-tagged proteins were detected by incubating the filters with a 1:2000 dilution of the M2-anti-FLAG antibody (Sigma) followed by incubation with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated antimouse antibodies (Bio-Rad). Immunoreactive proteins were visualized using Super-Signal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate (Thermo). The molecular sizes of the immunodetected proteins were verified by comparison to the migration of prestained protein markers (Bio-Rad) electrophoresed in parallel lanes.⁴ Antibodies to PAF53 and mammalian A127 have been described previously.²⁹ The antibodies to GFP were obtained from Clontech, and the antibodies to GST were obtained from Sigma.

Mutagenesis of PAF53 and PAF49. Full length or mutants of PAF53 or PAF49 were cloned into pcDNA3.1 (Invitrogen), PKH3 BSENX, and/or pEBG as required. Various epitope tags were added to the coding regions by PCR, and the constructs were confirmed by DNA sequencing. Deletion mutants were constructed by PCR-directed mutagenesis using overlapping primers based on the sequences of the cDNA and the vector. The internal deletion mutant of PAF49, between amino acids 41–50, was generated by overlapping PCR. The chimeric mouse/yeast proteins were constructed similarly. All the amplification reactions were performed using iProof polymerase (Bio-Rad). All mutant constructs were confirmed by DNA sequencing. The initial clones for PAF53 and PAF49 were obtained from the laboratory of Dr. Masami Muramatsu. The clones for yeast A34.5 and yeast A49 were obtained from Dr. David Schneider.

RESULTS

PAF53 and PAF49 Both Heterodimerize and Interact with RNA Polymerase I. We have previously reported⁴⁰ that transiently transfected PAF53 associates with RNA polymerase I, and we confirmed and extended this observation for PAF49. 293T cells were transfected with vectors expressing tagged-PAF53 or tagged-PAF49 or both proteins simultaneously. Whole cell extracts were harvested and protein–protein interactions detected by Western blots after either immunoaffinity pull-down assays (anti-FLAG) or immobilized nickel affinity assays (IMAC) pull-down assays. The starting material and pull-down fractions were probed for A127 (the second largest subunit of RNA polymerase I), using antibodies raised to recombinant A127 protein,²⁹ and ectopically expressed PAF49 and PAF53 as indicated. As shown in Figure 1, when




Figure 1. Ectopically expressed PAF53 and PAF49 interact with one another and with RNA polymerase I. HEK 293 cells were transfected with vectors driving the expression of the indicated proteins. Forty-eight hours post-transfection, whole cell lysates were prepared and incubated with either immobilized anti-FLAG antibodies (FLAG Pull-down) or immobilized nickel (His Pull-down). The bound proteins were eluted either with FLAG peptide or EDTA and analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting as described in Materials and Methods. Note the background signal for A127 in the His Pull-down lanes (7).

either PAF49 or PAF53 was overexpressed in 293 cells, we found evidence that the two proteins interacted both with one another and with RNA polymerase I. Specifically, in lanes 4, 6, and 9 ectopically expressed PAF49 and PAF53 are shown to interact. As shown in lanes 4 and 11, ectopically expressed PAF49 and PAF53 can interact with endogenous RNA

polymerase I. When we used His-tagged PAF53 in pull-down experiments, there was significant background binding of Pol I (note the band marked A127 in Figure 1, lanes 7–9). Hence, we repeated the pull-down assay using FLAG-tagged PAF53 (Figure 1, lanes 10 and 11). The background signal at 127 kDa was greatly reduced (Figure 1, lane 10), and the interaction between PAF53 and A127 was apparent (Figure 1, lanes 10 and 11). These experiments demonstrated that both PAF53 and PAF49 could interact with RNA polymerase I and confirmed that the two proteins interacted with one another either directly or indirectly.^{30,41} In the course of these experiments, we observed that when PAF53 and PAF49 were overexpressed simultaneously, we observed a greater accumulation of both proteins than that observed when the proteins were transfected individually (compare the levels of PAF53 and PAF49 in Figure 1, lanes 1 and 2 vs 3). These results suggested that the formation of a PAF53/PAF49 heterodimer acted to stabilize the two proteins.

Identification of the Domain in PAF49 That Interacts with PAF53. In order to better understand the interactions between PAF53, PAF49, and RNA polymerase I, we next sought to define the domains in PAF53 and PAF49 that mediated this interaction. Figure 2 summarizes the PAF49 mutants used in this study and which mutants were able to interact with PAF53.




Figure 2. Schematic summary of PAF49 mutants and their ability to interact with PAF53.

The first series of PAF49 deletions demonstrated that the interaction domain with PAF53 was between amino acids 1–100 of PAF49. Deletion mutants of PAF49 containing amino acids 1–300 and 1–200 interacted with PAF53 (data not shown). As shown in Supporting Information Figure 1, PAF49 constructs containing amino acids 1–100 (Figure 1, panel A) were capable of interacting with PAF53. Thus, the first 100 amino acids of PAF49 are sufficient for binding to PAF53. This was supported by the observation that deletion of amino acids 1–100 abrogated the interaction with PAF53 (Supporting Information Figure 1, panel B). Thus, amino acids 1–100 of PAF49 are necessary and sufficient to mediate the interaction between PAF49 and PAF53, and there does not appear to be any other, independently acting binding site. This result extends the result published by Yamamoto et al.,³⁰ who

reported that amino acids 1–270 of PAF49 were required for the interaction with PAF53.

In order to better delineate the amino acids required for the interaction with PAF53, a series of deletion mutants were constructed that focused on the N-terminal amino acids. Analysis of the interactions of these mutants with PAF53 (Figure 3) narrowed the binding site to between amino acids




Figure 3. Amino acids 41–86 of PAF49 are sufficient for the interaction with PAF53. (A) Deletion analysis demonstrates a C-terminal boundary ca. –86. (B) Further analysis demonstrates a N-terminus for heterodimerization at amino acid 41 and confirms the C-terminus boundary between amino acids –80 and –86. HEK 293T cells were cotransfected with the indicated deletion mutants of PAF49 and V5-tagged PAF53. The interacting proteins were analyzed as described in the legend to Figure 1.

41–100 (data not shown). Constructs containing amino acids 1–40, 50–110, and 60–120 of PAF49 did not interact with PAF53. Only one construct in this series of mutants, PAF49 41–100, was able to interact with PAF53 (data not shown). Subsequently, we dissected the region between amino acids 40 and 100 of PAF49 to determine the minimum region that would be sufficient to mediate an interaction between PAF49 and PAF53. The results of these experiments demonstrated that the C-terminal boundary lies between amino acids 80–86 of PAF49. Constructs expressing amino acids 30–76 (Figure 3A, lane 8) or amino acids 41–80 (Figure 3B, lane 7) of PAF49 did not bind PAF53, but constructs expressing amino acids 30–86 (Figure 3A, lane 9) and amino acids 41–86 (Figure 3B, lane 8) did bind PAF53. These experiments also demonstrate that the N-terminal boundary of the binding domain lies between amino acids 41–45 of PAF49 and would include a conserved block of amino acids (discussed below). A construct expressing amino acids 45–100 did not bind PAF53 (Figure 3B, lane 6), but one that expressed amino acids 30–96 did bind PAF53 (Figure 3A, lane 10). The consensus of these experiments was that amino acids 41–86 were sufficient to mediate the interaction (Figure 3B, lane 8).

Interestingly, multiple sequence alignment using Pfam⁴² (Wellcome Sanger Institute) analysis of the region from 1 to

100 of mouse PAF49 with yeast and other A34.5 homologues identified a conserved block of 9 amino acids (PAF49 amino acids 41–49) (Supporting Information Figure 2A). This suggested that these 9 AA may be critical for function. Accordingly, we deleted amino acids 41–50 of PAF49 and found that the protein could no longer interact with PAF53 (Supporting Information Figure 2B). These nine amino acids are necessary for the interaction between PAF49 and PAF53.

These data are consistent with the X-ray crystallographic data published by Geiger et al.³¹ wherein it was reported that amino acids 22–144 of yeast A34.5 constituted the heterodimerization domain for the interaction with A49. However, our data would suggest that the region required for the interaction is actually smaller, 45 amino acids. Analysis of the predicted secondary structure of the region encompassed by amino acids 41–86 using I-Tasser^{43,44} demonstrated a similar arrangement of β -sheets and α -helical regions as that found in the region that includes the predicted β 2 through β 5 regions of A34.5³¹ (Supporting Information Figure 3).

Identification of the Domain(s) in PAF53 That Interacts with PAF49. We next determined the region of PAF53 required for the interaction with PAF49. The constructs used in this series of experiments and their ability to interact with PAF49 are summarized in Figure 4. As shown in Figure 5,




Figure 4. Schematic summary of PAF53 mutants and their ability to interact with PAF49.

panel A, amino acids 1–331 and 1–222 of PAF53 supported an interaction with PAF49, but amino acids 1–114 did not. Moreover, amino acids 216–435 of PAF53 did not support an interaction with PAF49, suggesting that the region between amino acids 1 and 222 was both necessary and sufficient for binding of PAF53 to PAF49 (Figure 5, panel B). Subsequent experiments with PAF53 70–222, 114–222, and 1–160 demonstrated that amino acids 70–160 were insufficient to mediate the interaction with PAF49 (Figure 5, panels C and D). Taken together, these data demonstrated that the N-terminal boundary of the region of PAF53 required for the interaction with PAF49 lies between amino acids 1 and 70. Moreover, the C-terminal boundary is defined as between amino acids 114 and 160 (aa1–160 was sufficient to mediate an interaction, but aa 1–114 was not). This region is in good agreement with the predicted dimerization domain defined by the three-dimensional studies of Geiger et al.³¹ In this same light, it is interesting to note that Hanada et al.²⁸ reported that




Figure 5. The N-terminal 160 amino acids of PAF53 are necessary and sufficient for the interaction with PAF49. Deletion mutagenesis demonstrates that the C-terminal domain required for the interaction between PAF49 and PAF53 lies between amino acids 114–222 (A) and that the C-terminal domain (aa 216–435) of PAF53 does not interact with PAF49 (B). Within this 220 amino acid region, amino acids between 1 and 70 and 114 and 222 (C) of PAF53 are required for the interaction with PAF49, but amino acids 1–160 are sufficient to mediate heterodimerization. HEK 293 cells were cotransfected with vectors driving the expression of the indicated deletion mutants of HA-PAF53 along with a vector driving the expression of GST-PAF49. The interacting proteins were analyzed as described in the legend to Figure 1.

PAF53 and A49 contained two regions with conserved amino acids between amino acids 34–59 (PAF53) and 135–160. Our PAF53 deletion analysis presented here, and the three-dimensional analysis in Geiger et al.³¹ suggests that the region in PAF53 (yeast A49) that interacts with PAF49 (yeast A34.5) is discontinuous and may only consist of the domains referred to as β 1, β 8, and β 9 in A49.³¹




Figure 8. Insertion of amino acids 41–86 of mouse PAF49 into yeast A34.5 directs it to interact with mammalian PAF53 (A) and insertion of amino acids 1–70 and 114–160 of PAF53 into A49 directs it to interact with mammalian PAF49 (B). HEK 293T cells were cotransfected with vectors driving the expression of the indicated chimeric proteins. Forty-eight hours post-transfection, lysates were prepared and fractionated by the indicated pull-down method. For the experiments described in panel A, amino acids 52–98 of yeast A34.5 were replaced with amino acids 41–86 of mouse PAF49. For the experiments described in panel B, amino acids 1–42 and 109–136 of yeast A49 were replaced with amino acids 1–40 and 114–160 of mouse PAF53. The ability of the various proteins to heterodimerize was assayed as described in Materials and Methods and in the legend to Figure 1.

their association with RNA polymerase I in mammalian cells are regulated coordinately with transcription by RNA polymerase I.^{28,35} We have previously reported that PAF53 levels correlated with the rate of rDNA transcription in insulin-treated 3T6 and H4-E2 cells.³⁵ In addition, we have reported that the molar ratio of PAF53 to core RNA polymerase I subunits in affinity purified polymerase complexes was 0.6 to 1.²⁹ These data were consistent with the original observations reported by Hanada et al.²⁸ and with those of Yamamoto et al.,³⁰ who reported that only transcriptionally competent RNA polymerase I contained PAF53.

Hanada et al.²⁸ reported that when 3T6 cells were serum starved, PAF53 translocated from the nucleolus and that this corresponded with a decreased rate of rDNA transcription. Similarly, Yamamoto et al.³⁰ reported that when 3T3 cells were serum starved, PAF49 translocated from the nucleolus. Moreover, both papers reported that they could biochemically separate two forms of RNA polymerase I. Yamamoto et al.³⁰ reported that both PAF49 and PAF53 were present in one subpopulation of RNA polymerase I (fraction IB) and absent from a second population (fraction IA). They also demonstrated that fraction 1B, the polymerase population that contained PAF49, was the transcriptionally competent form of the enzyme. Interestingly, the yeast homologues of PAF53 and PAF49, A49 and A34.5, can be dissociated from otherwise intact RNA polymerase I,^{32,45} resulting in Pol I lacking the A49/34.5 heterodimer and the intact A49/A34.5 heterodimer.³¹ While it has been reported that neither A49 nor




Figure 9. Comparison of the heterodimerization domains of yeast A34.5 and A49 with those of mammalian PAF49 and PAF53. Top panel: this panel, adapted from Geiger et al.,³¹ indicates both the dimerization domains of A34.5 and A49 as reported³¹ and the dimerization domains of PAF49 and PAF53 based on the results reported herein. Bottom panel: this panel shows a colored version of the predicted 3D structure of a portion of the yeast A34.5/A49 heterodimer (PDB 3NFF) in which the corresponding mammalian heterodimerization domains are colored in green (PAF49) or orange (PAF53) against the structure of the yeast heterodimer. The PDB file was downloaded, and the corresponding amino acids were colored using PyMol.

A34.5 is an essential subunit, mutants in A49 demonstrate reduced growth rates at 30 °C and reduced viability at nonpermissive conditions,³³ and Rpa51 of fission yeast, the homologue of budding yeast A49, is required to maximize rDNA transcription.⁴⁶ In addition, Kuhn et al. have demonstrated that the A49/A34.5 heterodimer is required for normal Pol I cleavage activity on synthetic templates and processivity.³² Interestingly, while *S. pombe* Rpa51 can functionally replace *S. cerevisiae* A49, mouse PAF53 cannot.⁴⁶

These results argue that the association of A34.5/PAF49 and A49/PAF53 with the polymerase, especially PAF53 and PAF49, and with one another might be subject to regulation. Moreover, they suggest that the interactions of A34.5/PAF49 and A49/PAF53 might also be species-specific; i.e., different elements are required for the formation of the heterodimer. Interestingly, the structure of the heterodimerization domains of the yeast A34.5/49 complex is similar to that of the TFIIF complex involved in transcription by RNA polymerase II,⁴⁷ suggesting a conservation of function that survived the divergence of the polymerases themselves.

These observations raise the question as to whether the interactions between PAF53/PAF49 are similar to those between A34.5 and A49. The yeast complex plays a significant role in rDNA transcription, and the elaboration of the domains involved in the formation of the mammalian heterodimer would add to our understanding of the evolution of the polymerases and their function. Interestingly our studies

indicate there is evidence for a conservation of the structure of the heterodimerization domains of the two proteins, at least with respect to the heterodimerization domains of A34.5 and PAF49. We demonstrated that yeast A34.5 cannot heterodimerize with PAF53. However, when amino acids 41–86 of PAF49 were substituted for amino acids 52–98 of yeast A34.5, the chimeric protein heterodimerized with PAF53. While this 41 amino acid long domain is significantly shorter than that domain predicted by the crystallography studies,³¹ it is consistent with those studies. The predicted three-dimensional model of this domain matches that of the yeast homologue. However, yeast A34.5 could not heterodimerize with mouse PAF53, indicating that there are sequence-specific requirements as well as a structural requirement.

Analysis of the domains of PAF53 required for the interaction with PAF49 suggested that both the N- and C-terminal segments of the amino acids from 1 to 160 were required for the interaction. Amino acids 1–70 and 70–160 alone did not heterodimerize with PAF49 (summarized in Figure 4). When we aligned this segment with the structure of the yeast heterodimer, it suggested that the interaction might be mediated by two separate elements. To test this model, we inserted amino acids 1–70 and 114–160 of mouse PAF53 into yeast A49. The chimeric protein interacted with mouse PAF49. This was both consistent with the results of the deletion analysis and the secondary structure predicted by the crystallography.

Our data demonstrate that the yeast A34.5/A49 and mammalian PAF49/PAF53 heterodimeric complexes interact through species-specific sequence elements that appear to assume similar structural motifs. This is consistent with the model that the components of the RNA polymerase I transcription have evolved away from one another over time, while maintaining a conservation of function (molecular coevolution). Confirmation of our predictions with respect to structure will require the determination of the structure of amino acids 41–86 of PAF49 and amino acids 1–160 of PAF53. In addition, our results are consistent with the model that the mammalian heterodimer may function in elongation similar to the yeast complex. In this extent it is interesting to note that while there is evidence for the existence of endogenous mammalian RNA polymerase I complexes that lack the heterodimer,^{28–30} there is no published evidence for such a complex in yeast cells. Whether this indicates that the regulation of the assembly of the heterodimer with the core polymerase is different in yeast and mammalian cells remains to be seen. In preliminary experiments, we have found that while the assembly of the PAF49/PAF53 complex with Pol I is sensitive to growth conditions, the formation of the heterodimer is not.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

● Supporting Information

Supplemental Figures 1–3. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*Phone 4052712950; e-mail lrothblu@Ouhsc.edu.

Funding

This research was supported in part by NIH grants GM069841 and HL077814 to L.I.R.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ABBREVIATIONS

Pol I, RNA polymerase I; rRNA, ribosomal RNA; rDNA, ribosomal DNA; Rpa34 or A34.5, the 34.5 kDa subunit of yeast RNA polymerase I, a member of the A34.5 protein family that includes PAF49.

■ REFERENCES

- (1) Hannan, K. M., Hannan, R. D., and Rothblum, L. I. (1998) Transcription by RNA polymerase I. *Front Biosci.* 3, d376–398.
- (2) Grummt, I. (2003) Life on a planet of its own: regulation of RNA polymerase I transcription in the nucleolus. *Genes Dev.* 17, 1691–1702.
- (3) Moss, T. (2004) At the crossroads of growth control; making ribosomal RNA. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* 14, 210–217.
- (4) Hannan, R. D., Luyken, J., and Rothblum, L. I. (1996) Regulation of ribosomal DNA transcription during contraction-induced hypertrophy of neonatal cardiomyocytes. *J. Biol. Chem.* 271, 3213–3220.
- (5) Larson, D. E., Xie, W., Glibetic, M., O'Mahony, D., Sells, B. H., and Rothblum, L. I. (1993) Coordinated decreases in rRNA gene transcription factors and rRNA synthesis during muscle cell differentiation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 90, 7933–7936.
- (6) O'Mahony, D. J., Xie, W. Q., Smith, S. D., Singer, H. A., and Rothblum, L. I. (1992) Differential phosphorylation and localization of the transcription factor UBF in vivo in response to serum deprivation. In vitro dephosphorylation of UBF reduces its transactivation properties. *J. Biol. Chem.* 267, 35–38.
- (7) Pelletier, G., Stefanovsky, V. Y., Faubladier, M., Hirschler-Laszkiewicz, I., Savard, J., Rothblum, L. I., Cote, J., and Moss, T. (2000) Competitive recruitment of CBP and Rb-HDAC regulates UBF acetylation and ribosomal transcription. *Mol. Cell* 6, 1059–1066.
- (8) Hirschler-Laszkiewicz, I., Cavanaugh, A., Hu, Q., Catania, J., Avantaggiati, M. L., and Rothblum, L. I. (2001) The role of acetylation in rDNA transcription. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 29, 4114–4124.
- (9) Cavanaugh, A. H., Hempel, W. M., Taylor, L. J., Rogalsky, V., Todorov, G., and Rothblum, L. I. (1995) Activity of RNA polymerase I transcription factor UBF blocked by Rb gene product. *Nature* 374, 177–180.
- (10) Hannan, K. M., Hannan, R. D., Smith, S. D., Jefferson, L. S., Lun, M., and Rothblum, L. I. (2000) Rb and p130 regulate RNA polymerase I transcription: Rb disrupts the interaction between UBF and SL-1. *Oncogene* 19, 4988–4999.
- (11) Voit, R., Schafer, K., and Grummt, I. (1997) Mechanism of repression of RNA polymerase I transcription by the retinoblastoma protein. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 17, 4230–4237.
- (12) Stefanovsky, V., Langlois, F., Gagnon-Kugler, T., Rothblum, L. I., and Moss, T. (2006) Growth factor signaling regulates elongation of RNA polymerase I transcription in mammals via UBF phosphorylation and r-chromatin remodeling. *Mol. Cell* 21, 629–639.
- (13) Sanij, E., Poortinga, G., Sharkey, K., Hung, S., Holloway, T. P., Quin, J., Robb, E., Wong, L. H., Thomas, W. G., Stefanovsky, V., Moss, T., Rothblum, L., Hannan, K. M., McArthur, G. A., Pearson, R. B., and Hannan, R. D. (2008) UBF levels determine the number of active ribosomal RNA genes in mammals. *J. Cell Biol.* 183, 1259–1274.
- (14) Comai, L., Song, Y., Tan, C., and Bui, T. (2000) Inhibition of RNA polymerase I transcription in differentiated myeloid leukemia cells by inactivation of selectivity factor 1. *Cell Growth Differ.* 11, 63–70.
- (15) Kuhn, A., Vente, A., Doree, M., and Grummt, I. (1998) Mitotic phosphorylation of the TBP-containing factor SL1 represses ribosomal gene transcription. *J. Mol. Biol.* 284, 1–5.
- (16) Muth, V., Nadaud, S., Grummt, I., and Voit, R. (2001) Acetylation of TAF(I)68, a subunit of TIF-IB/SL1, activates RNA polymerase I transcription. *EMBO J.* 20, 1353–1362.
- (17) Cramer, P., Armache, K. J., Baumli, S., Benkert, S., Brueckner, F., Buchen, C., Damsma, G. E., Dengl, S., Geiger, S. R., Jasiak, A. J., Jawhari, A., Jennebach, S., Kaminski, T., Kettenberger, H., Kuhn, C.

- D., Lehmann, E., Leike, K., Sydow, J. F., and Vannini, A. (2008) Structure of eukaryotic RNA polymerases. *Annu. Rev. Biophys.* 37, 337–352.
- (18) Memet, S., Saurin, W., and Sentenac, A. (1988) RNA polymerases B and C are more closely related to each other than to RNA polymerase A. *J. Biol. Chem.* 263, 10048–10051.
- (19) Armache, K. J., Mitterweber, S., Meinhart, A., and Cramer, P. (2005) Structures of complete RNA polymerase II and its subcomplex, Rpb4/7. *J. Biol. Chem.* 280, 7131–7134.
- (20) Peyroche, G., Levillain, E., Siaux, M., Callebaut, I., Schultz, P., Sentenac, A., Riva, M., and Carles, C. (2002) The A14-A43 heterodimer subunit in yeast RNA pol I and their relationship to Rpb4-Rpb7 pol II subunits. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 99, 14670–14675.
- (21) Peyroche, G., Milkereit, P., Bischler, N., Tschochner, H., Schultz, P., Sentenac, A., Carles, C., and Riva, M. (2000) The recruitment of RNA polymerase I on rDNA is mediated by the interaction of the A43 subunit with Rn3. *EMBO J.* 19, 5473–5482.
- (22) Bodem, J., Dobrev, G., Hoffmann-Rohrer, U., Iben, S., Zentgraf, H., Delius, H., Vingron, M., and Grummt, I. (2000) TIF-IA, the factor mediating growth-dependent control of ribosomal RNA synthesis, is the mammalian homolog of yeast Rn3p. *EMBO Rep.* 1, 171–175.
- (23) Miller, G., Panov, K. I., Friedrich, J. K., Trinkle-Mulcahy, L., Lamond, A. I., and Zomerdijk, J. C. (2001) hRRN3 is essential in the SL1-mediated recruitment of RNA Polymerase I to rRNA gene promoters. *EMBO J.* 20, 1373–1382.
- (24) Aprikian, P., Moorefield, B., and Reeder, R. H. (2001) New model for the yeast RNA polymerase I transcription cycle. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 21, 4847–4855.
- (25) Cavanaugh, A. H., Evans, A., and Rothblum, L. I. (2008) Mammalian Rn3 is required for the formation of a transcription competent preinitiation complex containing RNA polymerase I. *Gene Expression* 14, 131–147.
- (26) Fath, S., Milkereit, P., Peyroche, G., Riva, M., Carles, C., and Tschochner, H. (2001) Differential roles of phosphorylation in the formation of transcriptional active RNA polymerase I. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 98, 14334–14339.
- (27) Milkereit, P., and Tschochner, H. (1998) A specialized form of RNA polymerase I, essential for initiation and growth-dependent regulation of rRNA synthesis, is disrupted during transcription. *EMBO J.* 17, 3692–3703.
- (28) Hanada, K., Song, C. Z., Yamamoto, K., Yano, K., Maeda, Y., Yamaguchi, K., and Muramatsu, M. (1996) RNA polymerase I associated factor 53 binds to the nucleolar transcription factor UBF and functions in specific rDNA transcription. *EMBO J.* 15, 2217–2226.
- (29) Hannan, R. D., Hempel, W. M., Cavanaugh, A., Arino, T., Dimitrov, S. I., Moss, T., and Rothblum, L. (1998) Affinity purification of mammalian RNA polymerase I. Identification of an associated kinase. *J. Biol. Chem.* 273, 1257–1267.
- (30) Yamamoto, K., Yamamoto, M., Hanada, K., Nogi, Y., Matsuyama, T., and Muramatsu, M. (2004) Multiple protein-protein interactions by RNA polymerase I-associated factor PAF49 and role of PAF49 in rRNA transcription. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 24, 6338–6349.
- (31) Geiger, S. R., Lorenzen, K., Schreieck, A., Hanecker, P., Kostrewa, D., Heck, A. J., and Cramer, P. (2010) RNA polymerase I contains a TFIIF-related DNA-binding subcomplex. *Mol. Cell* 39, 583–594.
- (32) Kuhn, C. D., Geiger, S. R., Baumli, S., Gartmann, M., Gerber, J., Jennebach, S., Mielke, T., Tschochner, H., Beckmann, R., and Cramer, P. (2007) Functional architecture of RNA polymerase I. *Cell* 131, 1260–1272.
- (33) Liljelund, P., Mariotte, S., Buhler, J. M., and Sentenac, A. (1992) Characterization and mutagenesis of the gene encoding the A49 subunit of RNA polymerase A in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 89, 9302–9305.
- (34) Gadal, O., Mariotte-Labarre, S., Chedin, S., Quemeneur, E., Carles, C., Sentenac, A., and Thuriaux, P. (1997) A34.S, a nonessential component of yeast RNA polymerase I, cooperates with subunit A14 and DNA topoisomerase I to produce a functional rRNA synthesis machine. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 17, 1787–1795.
- (35) Hannan, K. M., Rothblum, L. I., and Jefferson, L. S. (1998) Regulation of ribosomal DNA transcription by insulin. *Am. J. Physiol.* 275, C130–138.
- (36) Stefanovsky, V. Y., Pelletier, G., Hannan, R., Gagnon-Kugler, T., Rothblum, L. I., and Moss, T. (2001) An immediate response of ribosomal transcription to growth factor stimulation in mammals is mediated by ERK phosphorylation of UBF. *Mol. Cell* 8, 1063–1073.
- (37) Mortensen, R., Chesnut, J. D., Hoeffler, J. P., and Kingston, R. E. (2003) Selection of transfected mammalian cells. *Curr. Protoc. Mol. Biol.*, xxxx ; Chapter 9, Unit 9.5.
- (38) Cavanaugh, A. H., Hirschler-Laszkiewicz, I., Hu, Q., Dundr, M., Smink, T., Misteli, T., and Rothblum, L. I. (2002) Rn3 phosphorylation is a regulatory checkpoint for ribosome biogenesis. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277, 27423–27432.
- (39) Hannan, R. D., Cavanaugh, A., Hempel, W. M., Moss, T., and Rothblum, L. (1999) Identification of a mammalian RNA polymerase I holoenzyme containing components of the DNA repair/replication system. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 27, 3720–3727.
- (40) Dundr, M., Hoffmann-Rohrer, U., Hu, Q., Grummt, I., Rothblum, L. I., Phair, R. D., and Misteli, T. (2002) A kinetic framework for a mammalian RNA polymerase in vivo. *Science* 298, 1623–1626.
- (41) Panov, K. I., Panova, T. B., Gadal, O., Nishiyama, K., Saito, T., Russell, J., and Zomerdijk, J. C. (2006) RNA polymerase I-specific subunit CAST/hPAF49 has a role in the activation of transcription by upstream binding factor. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 26, 5436–5448.
- (42) Finn, R. D., Mistry, J., Tate, J., Coghill, P., Heger, A., Pollington, J. E., Gavin, O. L., Gunasekaran, P., Ceric, G., Forslund, K., Holm, L., Sonnhammer, E. L., Eddy, S. R., and Bateman, A. (2010) The Pfam protein families database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 38, D211–222.
- (43) Roy, A., Kucukural, A., and Zhang, Y. (2010) I-TASSER: a unified platform for automated protein structure and function prediction. *Nat. Protoc.* 5, 725–738.
- (44) Zhang, Y. (2008) I-TASSER server for protein 3D structure prediction. *BMC Bioinf.* 9, 40.
- (45) Huet, J., Wyers, F., Buhler, J. M., Sentenac, A., and Fromageot, P. (1976) Association of RNase H activity with yeast RNA polymerase A. *Nature* 261, 431–433.
- (46) Nakagawa, K., Hisatake, K., Imazawa, Y., Ishiguro, A., Matsumoto, M., Pape, L., Ishihama, A., and Nogi, Y. (2003) The fission yeast RPA51 is a functional homolog of the budding yeast A49 subunit of RNA polymerase I and required for maximizing transcription of ribosomal DNA. *Genes Genet. Syst.* 78, 199–209.
- (47) Gaiser, F., Tan, S., and Richmond, T. J. (2000) Novel dimerization fold of RAP30/RAP74 in human TFIIF at 1.7 Å resolution. *J. Mol. Biol.* 302, 1119–1127.